

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,566	07/08/2003	Nicola Perone	Nicola Perone P31343US 9013 EXAMINER TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		
28805	7590 11/03/2006				
	& FERRERA, L.L.P.				
2401 FOUNTAIN VIEW DRIVE SUITE 630			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 77057		3734		
•			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/615,566	PERONE, NICOLA
Examiner		Art Unit
	Kevin T. Truong	3734

	Kevin T. Truong	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			•
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)):			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		/
13. Other:		Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3734	7

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Wallace's device is designed not only to measure the magnitude of the vacuum pressure exerted by a vacuum cup against the baby's head, but also, measure extraction forces applied to the baby's head by the vacuum cup as described in patent Wallace. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks and has maintained the grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Wallace '284 for the same reasons as set forth in the final office action.

KEVIN T. TRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER